

Akademisk Råd (Akademisk Råd)

30-08-2024 09:00 - 11:00

Sandbjerg

Indhold

Referat	1
---------------	---

Referat

Punkt 2: For orientation and discussion: Revision of the curriculum for medicine and regionalization of 30 study seats of the Master's degree programme, by Vice Dean for Education Lise Wogensen Bach (9.00-9.20) - online

Lise Wogensen Bach presented the revision of the curriculum in medicine and why it is needed (see Lises pptx).

The Academic Council then discussed how to make Gødstrup seats attractive to students.

The council suggested:

- To make KBU spots available in Gødstrup
- Get inspiration for Hjørring/Northern Jutland
- Underline the benefits of being a small group of students: e.g., gives a closer relationship, more/closer feedback, a feeling-of-home
- Let the teaching (including lectures) take place in Gødstrup
- Brand it as a whole medical team and integrate different kind of health professionals (i.e., with nurses etc.)
- Use Esbjerg and Køge as examples – use their experiences
- Make it possible to change between Gødstrup and Aarhus (i.e., do not make it a one-way ticket)
- Consider whether Gødstrup should have a particular “profile”

It was decided that Lise Wogensen Bach will participate in the December meeting to continue the dialogue.

Punkt 3: For decision: Nomination of two candidates for the Honorary Doctorate in 2025, by chairperson Søren Dinesen Østergaard (9.20-9.30)

The council discussed the candidates and nominated two candidates, a male and a female candidate. They will proceed to the next round at Aarhus University level, where the five Academic Council representatives will discuss the candidates and appoint an Honorary Doctorate for each faculty.

The council also decided to recommend that nomination of candidates for the Honorary Doctorate to be made every 2 years in the future. Søren Dinesen Østergaard will bring this recommendation to the University management.

Punkt 4: News from chairperson Søren Dinesen Østergaard (9.30-9.40)

a) Søren Dinesen Østergaard informed that the new deputy to the practice committee at Health is Anne Hammer Lauridsen.

b) The new team in charge for the hearings processes regarding PhD assessments ect. at Health will take over from the former by September 1 – December 31.

The new team is: Christoffer Laustsen, Alena Salasova and Andreas Breenfeldt Andreasen.

c) Søren Dinesen Østergaard gave a status on the AU Strategi 2030. The council discusses how the new strategy can become less like an action plan and more like a direction-giving strategy. Anne-Mette Hvas will bring the input from the council to the AU management team the week after.

d) The council discussed the hearing regarding a simplified model for appointing of external board members. The council supported the joint response made by BSS, which was also supported by the other academic councils.

Punkt 5: For orientation and discussion: Research Support at Health - organisation plans and status, by Dean Anne-Mette Hvas (9.40-9.55)

Anne-Mette Hvas gave a status on the research support at Health.

There will be established a Health on-campus Research Support Office by the end of the year to assist with major grant applications. Until further notice, the researchers shall continue their current use of the existing research support opportunities.

The council took note of the orientation.

Punkt 6: For decision: Assessment committee for the annual Health Student Research Prize, by Søren Dinesen Østergaard (9.55-10.00)

It was decided that the new members of the assessment committee for the annual Health Student Research Prize are:

Sebastian Schlafer, Christine Cramer, Christoffer Laustsen and Alena Salisova.

They will be contacted directly with more information on the process.

Punkt 8: For orientation: The Master's degree reform - a status by Dean Anne-Mette Hvas (10.05-10.15)

Anne-Mette Hvas gave a short orientation on the Master's degree reform. Since Health accepted more students in 2023 and 2024 compared to the years 2018-22, Health will have to downgrade the numbers of accepted bachelor students in the years to come (compared with 2023 and 2024). As communicated at the meeting 19 August for all employees at Health, the dimensioning of bachelor students will be at education at Department of Public Health as education within medicine and odontology are fixed. Exactly how this will be carried out is still being discussed at Department of Public Health and at the faculty level.

The council discussed implications, also the economic aspects. The master's degree reform, including the dimensioning of bachelor students, will not have economic consequences in 2025 and for now, we work on the basis that we will be able to absorb the economic implications in the years to come thereafter.

The council took note of the orientation.

Punkt 9: For orientation: Research Evaluation at Health - a status by Dean Anne-Mette Hvas (10.15-10.20)

Anne-Mette Hvas gave a brief status on the research evaluation at Health.

Overall, it is progressing as planned.

It was recommended by the council to evaluate the process afterwards. This will be discussed at a council meeting in February.

The council took note of the orientation.

Punkt 10: For orientation: Information about intended decision regarding Campus 3.0 by Dean Anne-Mette Hvas (10.20-10.30)

Anne-Mette gave a short presentation on the Campus 3.0 project. The council discussed the economic aspects that are not yet agreed upon.

Health is expected to move into the Bartholin building in the beginning of 2025.

The council took note of the orientation.

Punkt 11: News from the Dean Anne-Mette Hvas (10.30-10.40)

Anne-Mette Hvas gave a status on iPSYCH and how the faculty has planned to handle this case going forward.

The council discussed different implications and took note of the orientation.

Punkt 12: For discussion: Suggestions for future topics for discussion in the Academic Council by chairperson Søren Dinesen Østergaard (10.40-10.55)

The council discussed topics to bring up at future meetings in the Academic Council.

The following topics were suggested:

- Establishing a maternity leave fund for PhD students.
- Younger researchers' career paths – brainstorm on what to do regarding funding.
- How to implement communication paths from Academic Council members to departments.
- Revisit the purpose of the Academic Council every year. What the council should contribute to, how we meet, a kind of service check, maybe at the Sandbjerg Seminar.
- End every meeting with a decision on which subjects the members should bring home, maybe via Inside Health or other newsletters.